judge in the context of the general situation on systems studies.
(Appendix B, item 3a)

Mr. Whitman said that the Los Alamos presentation was a very high
grade job, and this seemed to be the unanimous feeling. Dr. Fisk
added that, moreover, one gained an increasing feeling of strength and
maturity in the Laboratory. Mr. Murphree said that Dr. Bradbury's
proposal on weapon philosophy was a sound one. Dr. Fisk suggested the
the Committee not attempt to judge that point of view now, but should
call attention to it, to its real importance, and to the importance of
examining it. (Appendix B, item 3b)

The next subject discussed was the Livermore report. Dr. Rabi
remarked, and Dr. von Neumann agreed, that the analysis of the Morgen-
stern results had been a remarkable job of diagnosis. The Laboratory
clearly has very capable people on its staff; it is unfortunate that
they are not being effectively utilized up to their abilities.

Dr. Fisk said he felt the Committee could endorse the small weapo
program. He was concerned, however, about Dr. Teller's 10,000 MT gadg
and wondered what fraction of the Laboratory's effort was being expend
on the Gnomon and Sundial. Mr. Whitman had been shocked by the though
of 10,000 MT; it would contaminate the earth. Dr. Rabi's reaction was
that the talk about this device was an advertising stunt, and not to
be taken too seriously.

DOE ARCHIVES

With regard to the small weapons, Dr. Rabi said he had felt there
was something very amateurish in the way the objectives were defined.
The program was being set up without any study of how the war would be
fought, what the planes and rockets actually would carry, etc.