1	CONTENTS
2	TESTIMONY OF 1 PAGE
3	Boris T. Pash
4	
5	<u> </u>
6	Pash Exhibit No. 1
7	Pash Exhibit No. 2 43
8	1,
9	
10	
11	
1::	
13	
14	
16	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	BEST AVAILABLE COPY

EXECUTIVE SESSION

Wednesday, January 7, 1975

United States Sunatul

Select Committee to Study Governmental

Operations with Respect to

Intelligence Activities,

Washington, D. C'.

The Committee met, pursuant to notice, at 10:25 o'clock a.m., in Noom S-406, The Capitel, Sunator Richard S. Schweiker presiding.

Present: Senator Schweiker (providing).

Staff: Charles Kirbow and Frederick Baron, Professional Staff Members.

2

3

4

5

6

7

U

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

16

:9

26

1:1

22

2:1

24

25

PROCEEDINGS

Mr. Kirbow. Colonel Pash, the way this thing really works before the Committee in response to your off the record request a moment ago, to be sure that your remarks are made known before the Full Committee is this. Historically this Committee, throughout its history this whole year, has proceeded in the manner in which we are doing now, normally a preliminary interview with any person whose name appears to be of interest. to the Committee because of whatever reason. In this case, of course, your name surfaced with this article by E. Howard Hunt, apparently from his prison down in Florida. We have talked, as you know already, to Art Lazarus, whose name was also put forth by Hunt, and in any event, once you come before the Committee, even in an informal interview arrangement like you are now, this information is recorded, verbatim, all our remarks, all your remarks. It is thun made available to the Subconunittee which is in being right now having to do with the CIA, or it is made available to the Chairman and the Vice Chairman and to the full Committee membership upon their return from this recess. They then determine as a Committee is this just so much conversation that Howard Hunt has put on the street that, you know, it is a hoax or it is not true, or we're not interested in looking any further into this, bucques from what we've heard from the Witnesses thus far, there is apparently no truth to the big assassination type

testimony taken by the Committee, you are in fact entitled, as you will see in our rules, to appear and/or to make such statement to the Committee in writing or otherwise as you care to make to state your position. That is not the case. We do not have a witness who has appeared and said Boris Pash is quilty of semething or is accused of semething. But I think that that basically explains the way we operate. This being more of an informal session, maybe after you and I and Frederick have made this record, maybe that's all that

Ħ

2:

2%

ê

needs to be done.

Min OPYSECRE IN THE COMME

We thus far only have the accusation and nothing more to substantiate it. I make that statement to you now. And Mr. Baron and I were in on the entire discussion, one or the other of us, when Mr. Lazarus was here, and I know him to be a longtime friend and associate of yours, and I'm sure the two of you have talked, and you may even know what he told us, and we don't care about that. We don't care if he talked to you and told you what he told us. We didn't prescribe that he shouldn't talk to anybody, nor will we caution you not to talk to anyone or him after you leave. You are entitled to do so you please in that regard.

Many of our witnesses who have appeared before the Committee go immediately out and there's a press conference held out at the end of the hallway here in these spaces, and they make their statements and the Chairman makes his, and

24

25

that's the end of it.

1

2

3

ŧ

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

But wo'll see how this goes along. But if you understand kind of those ground rules as to how the Committee operatos, what we would like to do at the outset, at least, this morning is to limit our rumarks botwoon us basically as nearly as we can and still got the full story out as to anything you know about any of your duties in the intelligence business, wherever it may have been, that would have led Hunt to think honemtly that you headed an assassination unit. If he had some reason to think that, and you can in any way in your mind may, well, I don't know exactly, but he may have thought that because of something that we were doing or the name of my group, which I was directing or deputy of or any part of, that's the sort of thing that we would like to limit at the outset, and then, since you have requested it, we would be happy to listen to any comments that you want to make about this Opponheimer accuser business, although I will admit to you that that is not within the mandate of the Committee necessarily. It is so closely tied to this particular thing that I think here in a place you would put it on an official record which will be classified, by the way, Top Secret, when we take it here. record is handled within the Committee -- and you should know this -- much like it would be handled if you had given a statement out at the Agency. It is compartmented, it is restricted in its accurity aspects under a system designed for

us by our security man in conjunction with both the Agency and the FBI.

So we have some things here which you and I in our days when we were engaged in any intelligence business, would never have thought that the Agency would over deliver in wholesale quantities to a Congressional Committee, thousands of pages of stuff over there classified above Top Secret, you know, all of the code words and everything. We have never had, Hr. Pash, and this should be nome little sense of security, we have never had a national security information leak from this Committee in a year. There have been conversations about certain things which we would rather had not gone into the press, some by our numbers and our staff, but nothing having to do with national security, normally a political type of remark, inadvertently or otherwise.

So with that, and knowing that everyone in this room is cleared through code word, the top clearance we have from the Agency, in other words, and that Mr. Baron and I are both cleared for this type of compartmented information -- in fact, he and I were in on the entire so-called assassination inquiry right up to the last day of it -- you should feel as free as you can to just informally tell us whatever you know that you think may have caused this fellow here to think that the Agency had a unit like that whose prime mission or any part of the mission was to knock off other foreign agents or

TOP SECRET

B

U

1.3

2:1

anything else like that. And I don't mean a wartime thing.

If it occurred during a wartime thing, maybe that's -- maybe that':

where he gets it, , but with that, if you could maybe
just explain to us informally the situation, please feel free

6 to proceed in whatever manner you wish.

~

.

1 2

3

4 5

6

H

0

10

11::

1.2

14

15

16

17

18

15

20

21

22

23

24

25

TESTIMONY OF COLONEL HORIS T. PASH

Hr. Pash. Well, I would like to make a commont with regard to one of the matters you brought out which to a degree appears to me will satisfy my personal interest, and that is if -- and I think I understand correctly -- if this matter comes before the Chairman or Vice Chairman or both --

Hr. Kirbow. It would clearly come to their attention, by the way, everything that has transpired.

Mr. Pash. When this thing is completed, the matters which I am hoping, or which I hope through appearing at the open mussion would gut national publicity, if it would gut may a propared statement by me to the Committee, would receive national distribution without my having to impose on the entire Committee, that would satisfy my interests, you see, because there's no.way that I personally can refute all of this to the American public, you see, other than through a release by the Committee, and that is the reason really that I came with the attitude that I would like to save my big guns for the Committee. so to may, in order that -- you see, because I had fult a certain degree of coolness, the same type of coolness which I found among my scientists who were assigned to my unit in World War 11, after it became known in '54 that I appeared at the Oppenhoimer hearthy, some of them wouldn't speak to me. And now I can usuase in a very short time with a reasonably limited contact with my friends, because I've been traveling,

4 5

7 В 9

10 11

12

13 14

€.

~

15 16

17 10

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

I can already sense a certain degree of maybe I should eay caution, you soo.

Who in the heck do we know --

Mr. Kirbow. Well, Colonel, I think I fairly stated thu Committue's intorost in the matter, and we will proceed in accordance with the Committee's normal procedure.

Hr. Pash. At one time or another I would like to make a propared statement. I would like to present a prepared statument which I would like to have in the record and through the Committee released.

Mr. Kirbow. All right.

I can may this for you. We would welcome a prepared statement from you which clearly would be a part of the record. It will be part of the same transcript, in fact.

Mr. Pash. I want it unclassified.

Mr. Kirbow. Your request right now will be treated as an addendum to this transcript, which her company will make up from this record this morning, and the decision again as to how the Committee treats a public release, of course, will rest with Senathra Church and Tower at the outset, or with the full Committee, if they choose to bring it before them. But normally, as I say, they try to put accusations which have received widespread publicity and upon which the Committee can find no evidence to substantiate, they try to put those to rest. Otherwise, we have done everybody in the country a

2

,

5

7

U

Ý

10

1:

12

13

14

15

16

17

11:

17

26

#1 ##

2.1

24

25

disservice by leaving it kind of up in the air. That's been their practice in the past, and 1 see no reason why they wouldn't follow that in the future. And your request, this specific request, will be made known to the Chairman and Vice Chairman when they return as we give them a condensed version of what the byidence shows.

All of the records of this Committee are available to each member in great detail, and surprisingly, out of the eleven people we have, ment every one of them has read about 100 percent more than I would have expected them to ever look at.

They spend a great deal of time on the detail, because this kind of an investigation is made up of details.

well, why don't you do this, then? With all of this on the record already, lut me say that we want to welcome your coming here so voluntarily. Before we started we presented you with a copy of the rules. We cautioned you about your entitlement to counsel. You should also know that at any time during the period that you are giving this information to us here today, you may stop if you wish to do so and consult with Counsel of your own choice or one that we would furnish to you if you don't have a counsel, if you wanted to.

We don't foresee this sort of thing, nor are you an accusal in any sense of the word before this Committee. You understand that. This is a general information type thing, and if we

2

3

4

b

7

U

ý

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1/8

į

88

24

25

could just proceed along that line. My problem is that I'm committed at 11:00 o'clock to a very firm appointment that I had before we set you up, and if I could just hear what you have to say about almost these direct accusations of the organization you headed in the Agency being an assassination unit of any sort, where the hell do you think Bunt ever got this kind of feeling?

Hr. Pash. It was not an assassination unit. In the first place, I'd like to may that I was never an employee of the Agency. I was detailed from the Army for a normal tour of duty to the Agency.

Mr. Kirbow. How many years did that untail off and on?

Mr. Pauh. I stayed with the Agency -- I don't have my
records with me. They're in California. But about I would

may either the very end of 1948 or the very early part of '49.

somewhere right in there, and I reverted back to the Army,
completed the tour with the Agency at the end of 1951, and then
I was assigned and left for Austria in 1952, where I was
assigned as Special Forces or Unconventional Warfare Planning
Officer.

In 1951 and '55 and early '56, I was stationed in the preside of San Prancisco as a Deputy G-2 in charge of Security Matters. So cortainly after '51, I had no direct contact with the Agency.

While in the Agency, for the first maybe a year -- and

•

U

u

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

24

25

again I'm not sure, but for about I think less of my -- less than half of my time in the Agency or maybe about half the time, I was in charge of a planning group, and this was a part of what I think when the Agency was formed in those days, they had Plans and Policy Division, and if I'm not mistaken, a man by the name of Frank, Mr. Frank was in charge of that.

Hr. Kirbov. Thin wasn't Frank Hisnor?

Hr. Panh. Oh, no. Minu was Planning Branch No. 7, and that in general was individual type operations and all other such activities which the other six branches didn't specifically have. And I forget what the other branches were. One was Propaganda. That I remember. The other was -- well, I don't even --

Mr. Kirbow. Probably an intulligence collection outfit?

Mr. Pash. No. These were operational planning.

Hr. Baron. Political, economic?

Mr. Pash. Yes.

Now, of course, I think in order to understand the CIA, and it is something that I feel has not sufficiently been analyzed or reviewed, you have to know from where did the CIA spring.

Mr. Kirbow. This has been a disservice to you and the American peopl. All of this information, dating back to before the CIA was in being --

~

2

4

6

7

H

10

11

Hr. Pash. The OSS.

Mr. Kirbow. -- have been made available to us in great dutail by the people who are in the suminess, and Lawrence flowston and all these people who have grown up with the Agency, but has not yet been made public in any report because we haven't written our final report yet. We are in the process.

Hr. Panh. Woll, the reason I'm saying this is because I had experience during World War II with the OSS very unfavorably, not complimentary to the OSS. Again, one has to go back and see why did we have the OSS and why did it develop the way it did because all of a sudden we were without intelligence. I was called into the service -- I'm serry I'm going back this way but in order to understand what I'm going to explain, I think you have to know my analysis of the situation, you see. If I'm not mistaken, I was the first intelligence officer reserve. I was a reserve officer. I had a reserve commission. I was teaching in Southern California and I had a reserve commission. I was called in in June of 1940, and I was the only counterintelligence officer called in and assigned to the 1st 9th Corps Area on the Pacific Coast. We had no intelligence and we had to train while we had to operate, and that's because of our general attitude toward intelligence: we don't need it, it's not -- well, actually, if we will keep revorting to that same philosophy, I think somewhere along the line we can find maybe a great grandson of Paul Revers and give him

c.

r

S

1 2

۸,

4

5

6

7 В

Ý

10 11

12

13

15

16

10 1

17 20

22

a lantern and have him sit up in a tower in Hashington and tell us when the bombs are coming over, because that's in fact what wo're reducing intelligence to.

TOTAL INTERNATION OF COLORS SECRET WHITE THE

50 --

Mr. Kirbow. We were woofully deficient during the war YOATS.

Mr. Panh. Bocauno we had to operate at the same time that we had to train ourselves.

Now, I was sunt and attended the FBI school, the first 181 school for the officers of the military forces. The Navy and Army people went there. As a result, I just wanted to make this one statement, the OSS in a hurry had to expand into a thousand man, thousands of mun with no experience, a lot of money, and just told that here's so much money, half a million, \$250,000, go and operate. And naturally that developed a type of person in addition to others -- there were some very good men in OSS, some dedicated men. Some men lost their lives in it. But also there were a lot of bums, you know, these

So, when the CIA was formed, a lot of these people with these wild ideas and wild approaches were there. So of course when you say you're in charge of all other activities in individual activities, and those follows might have ideas well, you know, like we did maybe in World War 11, I heard they did or something like that, well, it's easier to kill a guy than

entrepreneurs and adventurers and so forth.

٠,

19 20 21

1

2

3

٨

8

Ú

10

11

12

13

: 4

15

16

17

16

88

24

1:5

to worry about trailing him, you see. So maybe that is where something originated.

However, throughout my activity with Planning Branch 7, we have never had any request for or any plans that we may have initiated to assessinate, as far as I know, you see. And of course then after that the term, and they reorganized again and we went into I think sort of an area type activity and I was assigned to a broader type.

Now, just I think maybo a year ago on my last trip, maybo a year ago when I came back enst a year ago, when this Committee, I think it was at the time when this talk of assassinations got started, Artie told me that there were some requests that came in while I was away. I was away on a tour, on a special mission from the office over in Japan for three or four months, but I never knew about it. He never told me about it, and then about a year ago when this assassination came up he said, well, somebody did request it, and I don't think he remembers who. At least, I don't even know who. And our office suggested a negative approach to it.

Mr. Kirbow. This is one of the workers that came up with a suggestion that we ought to knock somebody off?

Mr. Pash. Yos.

now, just to give you an idea of the type of planning we did, if that is of interest to the Committee, I think that the idea of sending balloons with a certain amount of propagands

3

١

6

7 ь

0 10

11

12 13

: 4

С. ۲.

S

~

end la

Obegin 1b

15 16

17

16

19 20

> *::* 22

23 24

25

in it, you noo, and that is just propaganda giving our side of the story, was initiated in our office, and that came to me as a result of the fact that on the Pacific Coast we had these bombs that the Japanese were supposed to be sending with their balloons. So I can't claim originality there but just copying.

So once we copied the Japanese rather than the Japanese copying us.

Mr. Kirbow. We used that against Cuba later on.

Hr. Paul. The use of certain -- well, I won't may ethnic groups, but cortain groups that could be used to the bonofit of the United States. For instance, we suggested that the Connack groups be brought into, be organized, be brought into the United States and settle in Alaska on the farms, but they are by nature a paramilitary group, and I know, I have studied them and I know them very well. I mean, not personally acquainted with them, but I know the Cossack history and who they are. Our people are misinformed a lot about them. They are frequently confused with the Mounted Gendarmes in Russia. They're getting credit for some of the things that the Mounted But the Cospacks are one of the most liberal Gendarmos did. type of groups in their own life and organization.

So our point was that we would have them settle in Alaska where they could work and so forth, but we would have an element up there --

Mr. Kirbow. That you could then use back outside the

country if you wanted to?

1

2

3

.

5

6

7

B

11

12

13

: 4

15

16

17

10

25

:::

22:

25

2:4

2:5

Mr. Pash. Well, not only that, but for the immediate defense of the country. This was in the puriod of cold war, you see.

I would like to say that many, many years later, just recently last year, I think, I read where our government, I suppose our government, settled a whole group of Russians up there, the bukhobers, a religious group, which is the same principle we advocated then. We had a project to try to rpirit Cardinal Menzenti out of Hungary. You see, these are the type of individual types of operations.

As a matter of fact, I think we also suggested that bottles with messages be sent down the Danube.

Mr. Kirbow. But this was an early clandestine type of planning group that would have planned an operation.

Mr. Pash. Yes, and I would like to point out that we were not operational. You see, when these people say an assassination unit, what disturbs my friends is that they don't know whether I wiped the blood off the knife I carry or not, you see. That is something.

(Discussion off the record.)

Mr. Paul. While I'm thinking of McDivanoy, he's a friend.

I don't know whether his name needs to be brought in here
at all.

Mr. Maron. Lot me junt interrupt to say that while we were

TOP SECRET

s

4 5

6 7

В 9

10 11

14

23 2:4 225 off the record, we discussed a certain friend of yours who had called into the Committee, and that's what you're about to discuss, just so it's clear for the record.

Mr. Pash. Yos.

Holl, no, he called Hr. Kirbow without knowing ho's a member of the Committee, you see. I don't think he knew he's a mumber of the Committee.

Hr. Baron. I believe he called in to Senator Tower's offica.

Hr. Pash. Yos, he wanted to talk to Senator Tower, and Senator Tower directed him to Mr. Kirbow, and he talked to him because I didn't realize he was a member of the Committee. But anyway, he wanted to be sure that if any assistance was needed in my situation, you know, because I didn't know anyone here, really, and coming in cold, you might may. His mother sent him the copy of the newspaper from Dallas, and said, is this the Colonel Pash we know? And so he told me about it and he 18 h said, of course, I suppose that there are certain people who have to be taken care of like that. So in a cautious way, E you see, if you've assassinated anybody, maybe we could find out whother it was necessary, you know, that approach, a I cautious approach.

And I'm getting that, and that's what disturbs mo, you BUC.

Well, anyway, to get back to these other operations of

c.

..

1 2

3

۵

b

6

B

y

11

12

13

15

16

17

10

1.11

25 h

our unit, right off hand I don't remember all of them, but I recall another one, you use, I followed the Soviet literature protty closely bucause, as one of the gentlemen in the press knows, I'm a Russian linquist, and much of my activities were directed in that, directed in that direction anyway. And following both intelligence reports and open Soviet literature, there appeared to be a situation developing in which Stalin was having a problem, you see. There is this doctors' plot to assassinate him that caused a purge and everything. So my unit, since I was knowledgeable, we developed a plan -- what action would we suggest that the United States take in the event of Stalin's death.

Now, there was no idea of us -- it was not in any way suggested that we should hasten that death in any way.

Mr. Baron. This was contingency planning.

Mr. Pash. Yes, if he died, because generally our country is not ready with contingency planning. We always react after something happens rather than proparing in advance, and that is a time when such a thing happened, when the man had such absolute power that one could do something about it. I think some suggestion like if A is a likely candidate, and B is a likely candidate, can we get the british to talk to A and we talk to B, in order to separate them there, you see. I forget what the plan was, but it was planned in that direction you see.

2

3

4

5

6

7

H

ý

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2:

22

2.1

22.4

21.

Mr. Daron. This was typical of the kind of thing that

Mr. Pash. Well, one of the things we did, maybe one would say that the Political Branch would do it, but we felt that wherever we felt competent within our branch, and I think that I might say without -- well, with some feeling of overexaggeration that I was reasonably knowledgeable about the Soviet Union to a degree, more so than many people who surrounded me in that area.

Mr. Baron. So you would be looked to as an expert in Soviet matters.

Mr. Panh. Well, I felt that I could suggest it, even if they didn't ank me, because I felt that this would be a contribution.

So those are some of the things that we did.

Mr. Baron. That's very helpful to fill in some of the background on the functions of PB7, the general functions.

Let me ank you a particular question that was brought to our attention by Artic Lazarus when we spoke to him two days ago.

He said that when he first joined you in PB7, you asked him to read the charter of PB7, and the charter contained the very kind of language that you just described, such other functions as the six other branches are not performing, but he said it also contained some specific language about PB7

Ì,

١

2

3

4

7

В

11

12

13

: 4

15

16

10

19

:::

22

:::

1:4

1:1.

you wee.

being responsible for assassinations, kidnappings and such other functions as may be assigned by higher authority.

大学 大学 一年 大学 大学 かんない はんかい しゅうかい ちゅうか かん

Now, do you recall that part of the charter of PB77

Mr. Pash. I don't recall that particular wording. I do

charter, or whether it was an explanatory addition to the charter, or whether it was a part of the charter which was there when I came in, he discussed that with me before he came down here and I told him, I said, if you recall it, yes. I either just didn't give it a thought as I was trying to lead up to the explanation, or if I saw that, I probably just sort of glanced over it, thinking well, this is a typical OSS approach to things, you see, because most of these people there were old OSS people, and to them using words like that is maybe a common thing because they felt -- I think they felt big in talking that way in order to belster their own interest. I certainly didn't give it any particular thought because I didn't remember it when Artie asked me about it,

It could have been there without my rocalling it, but I didn't give it any serious consideration because I know that that would be, probably, if I saw it, 'it would be beyond us, you see.

Hr. Baron. So now that we have brought it to your attention, you are maying that you still don't have any independent recollection of ansassinations being part of the charter of PB7.

B

2%

Mr. Pash. No, because I probably -- that didn't -- the effect it had on me more was toward the type of persons that would include that rather than to the activity which it included, you see.

Mr. Baron. So you're saying that assassinations could have been mentioned in the charter or in some addition or explanation of the charter, but as for as you were concerned, it was something that was on paper and was not an active function of PB7.

Mr. Pash. No, it wasn't. We never once within PS7, to my knowledge, discussed even the idea, should we develop something in that line. Neither assessmention nor kidnapping.

Mr. Baron. To stay on that guneral level for a moment, in the rust of your associations with the CIA on detail from the Army, were you aware of any discussion of assassination plans or attempts or even the suggestion that an assassination be undertaken?

Mr. Pash. No. I never heard that. There was a small incident which again as a matter of fact, I made a comment. There was -- I forget the composition of the group, but there was a group, I think, of branch chiefs or something like that in Plans and Policy. Present was a woman who occupied a position like that, and there was a discussion with regard to denying to the Soviets certain types of individuals in order to duny to the Soviets in event of an advance by them through

2

7

8

10

11

1::

:

15

16

17

10

:.

: .

: :

•

•

~

And of course the question came up, should Europe .industry. we blow up the industry. Should we -- someone came up with the suggestion that if we denied them key, difficult to replace, highly technical pursons whose skill had to be developed in years, that we should evacuate them to take them out, you see. This woman gots out and says, why don't we murder them? I just turned to her and I said, I think, lady, you like to just hear yourself talk. And that's all I told her, you see. And in fact, that's what I sort of wrote it off to. Who she was I don't even remember. I don't know whether I knew her name then. She was in a group sitting around a table. But that is loose type of talk that could load to some people, you know, saying well, they considered murdering people.

Well, she said that and averybody sort of looked at her, and I think they all agreed with me, she just liked to hear herself talk, you know. Here she was suggesting something like that.

Mr. Baron. But it would be your testimony that in terms of an operational plan or even a serious request for forming an operational plan for an assausination, you never heard such a plan with such a request?

Mr. Pauli, No, and I have never been -- it has never been discussed with me in that position of mine privately by anyone, in other words informally saying have you considered!

Chat maybe we could kill semebody or semathing like that, or

2

t

6

B

٧

10

11

1::

13

14

I think it would be good to knock off so and so, and you fellows think you could plan it informally or something. No, never.

Hr. Baron. Just to follow up with this for a moment, you mentioned carlier that Artic Lazarus had said to you at some point recently that he did recall two suggestions of --

Mr. Maron. Did he montion just one?

Mr. Panh. One.

Hr. Pash.

Mr. Baron. Well, there was one while he was in PB7 and another incident that he was involved with when he was a station officer somewhere else and was not working with you at all.

Mr. Pauh. 1 don't know.

No.

Mr. Baron. The one that he mentioned in connection with PB7 was an incident, and I am simply now trying to refresh your recollection by summarizing for you what he told us the other day, he said, approximately in the summer of 1949, the chief of the political section, which he thought was probably PBI, but he wasn't sure, but he was sure it was the chief of the political section, came to him shortly after a meeting at the State Department, some sort of a regular planning meeting, and anid we've just had a meeting in which it was decided that Chiang Kai Shek must be sent to meet his ancestors, and used just that kind of language, and it was clear that this was a request to PB7 to annumeination Chiang Kai Shek, and

p with the residence of the second second

Wartle bazarus said that he was the Acting Chief at that point

2

3

;

7

10

11

13

44

16

17

18

19

20

% .

24

24

::5

concurred.

C.

45

Ž,

because he believes you were in Japan, and he said that this same man who was the liaison with the State Department told him that there was higher authority for this request, and Artic Lazarus said he doesn't remember now whether he cited -- wait,

1'm sorry, I may be misspeaking myself.

Hr. Lazarus may remember now, and he may have decided that he didn't want to discuss the specific authority that was cited, but he was assured that there was nome sort of higher authority for this request, and Artio Lazarus says that he then hand-carried the suggestion to Frank Wisser's attention, and the response he get was from Tom Butts, who replied that this has gone right to the top and the answer is no, and you should convey this to the chief of the political section, or semebody should convey it directly to the State Department people, and Artic Lazarus can't remember exactly how the message was conveyed, but he's sure it was, and any records that surrounded it were destroyed, and that was the end of it as far as he was

Having refreshed your recollection with this story, do you now recall this incident?

just a year ago Artie told me about it and he says, I never told you because you were away and it was washed up and nothing happened.

Mr. Baron. So you weren't award of any action to follow

I never knew about that, and as I say,

2

3

4

5

6

7

ŧ

ø

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

'nυ

2: :

22

22.5

111.

7:2:10007

up this request.

Mr. Pash. No. We had no action as far as I'm concerned, and when I got back that was not brought to my attention, and as a matter of fact, until you told me, I didn't know it was Chiang Kai Shok that they were after.

Hr. Baron. Did you ever see any documents about this request?

Mr. Pash. No.

Hr. Haron. Was it your understanding at the time that if such a request were made to you directly as Chief of PB7, that you would probably receive the same response from the people above you in the OPC?

Mr. Pash. Actually, of course, this is Monday morning quarterbacking in my mind. I hardly think that I would consider taking it up. You see, I was involved in background experience and everything with a lot of situations. I was over in Europe during World War I, and I don't -- I really don't take so lightly to this business of assassinating for convenience.

When I was stationed, and this is interesting with

Hunt's comment about '54, when I was stationed in San Prancised
and I forget who it was who came to me in my office, somebody
in Washington, I think, I assume it was, maybe the Criminal
Investigative Division -- well, somebody, anyway, I think, from
the Army in an investigative type of service, asked me what

TOP SECRET

and the state of t

1

2

٤

5

7

ts

v

11

1: 1.5

: 4

15

16 17

18

17

20 **3**: 1

2:4

111

Korean war, maybe. No, the Korean war ended -- maybe it was after the Korean war, and there were some results that the head of the -- of that division was invostigating, and I was a Chief of Security in San Francisco, and they might have been coming through, and in a conversation they asked me what I thought of the fact that -- what I thought of assassinations as part of covert activities then, and I said that the only time that I folt that any assassination would be justified was if comebody could categorically state that if it is not done and the person involved is an enemy, that the safety of the United Status was at stake on that particular action, in other words, if you don't do it, the United States is destroyed.

And I think I might have brought out that the point is that if you're able to see a technician who has his finger on the atomic bomb and ready to put it off and you have no other way to stop him, but you can shoot him, I said, I think it's all right to shoot him then, but there are a number of, you might say, wild atorios about me told as a result of my operations in Europe and everything, and I'm very aggressive and overything, but I can't neo where there's any justification: or that one could gain anything out of it. That's my philosophy, you suo.

Of course, I must admit that if I were sitting in the chair when that request came, under the circumstances and what

Carlot Charles Con the Carlot Con Control

TOP SECRET

the circumstances were I don't know, but my improvation is that

if I was sitting there then, I probably would not have let it

qut by my office. I think I would have told them to go direct,

you see, because I would not want it to come through me up.

Hr. Baron. So you're saying that if someone had come to you with such a request, you would have said, you will have to

ask people at the top yourself.

Br. Panh. Yon.

1

2

5

6

7

b

G

10

11

12

1.5

16

17

14

19

ぇし

22

24

25

C.

That I think would be my position.

Mr. Baron. And at that time that would have meant Frank Wiener, the head of OPC?

Mr. Pash. I would have to get a yes from OP, and then I

would probably -- because you can't, you can't really, you can't plan. You see, my branch was supposed to plan something; well, an assassination is an operation. So really we don't plan operations, a detailed operation. We plan, you might say, I don't know whether I'm making myself clear, but we plan an

Mr. baron. Distribution of propagama?

but the operational plan, the detail still was not the

activity. Within that activity, like may --

Mr. Pash. Or like resottling the Cossacks or wonding \(\)
balloons over Europe, we would develop a plan for the activity,

to I make myself clear on that?

responsibility of my unit, you not.

Mr. Baron. You are distinguishing between a general plantite!

÷

* *;

:4

c c

15

17

19 20

::

22

#4

25

function and specific operational planning, and you didn't do the latter.

Hr. Panh. No, we didn't.

Hr. Baron. Although you're maying that if assassination had been considered an something the CIA wanted to be able to carry out, a capability they wanted to develop, you might have been asked to develop nome nort of general plans.

Hr. Pash. Yes, and if I were to plan it, it would be limited to much a thing -- well, without mort of jeopardizing my position on assassination, supposing I was supposed to -- say I was told to plan an assassination and I agreed to plan it, my plan would consist of, we want to assassinate A, the conditions for this should be that it should be limited to those involved. Who was to be award of it? How was it to take place, and so forth, the planning, and whom to send in, what to use, how to do it and all of that, that would not come under me.

Mr. Baron. That would be given to a case officer?

Mr. Pash. That would be given to the operational division. There was an operational division, you see, a man by the name of Lindsay was in charge.

Mr. Baron. Wore you ever asked to develop a capability to earry out askaskinations if it were necessary?

Mr. Panh. Ho.

The subject of annahaination had never come up, either

in a new of the contract of the state of

informally suggested to me, to see what capabilities were

6

7

21

1

needed, what equipment was needed, or a plan formally brought to me just to develop a theoretical plan, never.

Mr. Haran, Off the re-ord for a moral,

(Discussion off the record.)

Mr. Haron. While we were off the record, we agreed that the next subject that we would turn to would be the specific article in the New York Times which accounts or recounts an interview by Howard Hunt with John Crewdoon of the New York Times, and Mr. Pash, you informed me while we were off the record that the prepared statement that we were asking about at the beginning of this measure and that you wanted to introduce into the record is actually something that you have with you today and it relates to this matter specifically.

So this I think is the appropriate time for you to read that statement into the record, and then I will question you on it in detail when you are done.

Mr. Pash. Yes, fine. This is a statement to the Senate ,
Select Committee on Intelligence. I appreciate your invitation to appear pafore this Committee. By appearance here is ,
voluntary and is prompted by my conviction that the government !
agencies responsible for providing intelligence and security
for our country are in need of a friend in court. Practically ,
all officials of the agencies who have appeared before this

TOP SECRET

end 1b

. 9 10

11 12 13

.0

~

ļ

15

10

18

19 ¹ 19 (18)

k: !

ee t

24

--- E

Committee, at least from what I have seen, heard or read, were on the defensive and apparently trying to excuse wrongloings or alleged wrongloings within their agencies, whereas it is the individual perpetrators of such doings who should be held accountable and answer for them. And on the basis of all the hearings, one cannot help but conclude that the position of this Committee is that these agencies, and even our highest executive branch officers were quilty until proven innocent. It is not beyond belief that many of the allegations of illegal activities by our intelligence and security agencies are based on appeculation and innounde, and not founded in fact.

1

2

l,

10

11

12

1.5

16

17

19

'nч.

27

225

r.

ķ

An a definite example of this, may I draw the Committee's attention to a recent article which received nationalde publication. This article purports an interview with E. Howard Hunt, during which Mr. Hunt is alleged to have stated the following: "The Central Intelligence Agency had a unit in the mid-1950's to arrange for the assassination of suspected double agents.

He was told by his CIA supervisors in 1954 or 1955 that Boris T. Paph, an Agency official, was in charge of the assassination unit. Hunt claims to have discussed the neutralization of an alleged Albanian penetration agent with Colonel Pash and assared that 'assansination was the obvious answer.'"

In addition to all of this -- and I would like to impress
the Benate Select Committee on Intelligence -- is that your
spokesman, who as mentioned in the article understands that I

TOWNS TO THE SECRET AND A

am doad, is grossly misinformed, but may t return to the subject of Mr. Hunt and his insidious and completely false statements.

I did sorve a normal tour of duty on military assignment

1

3

H

Ý

10

11

1::

: 4

16

17

11:

14

20

2: 1

22

2: 4

24

1:5

to the Central Intelligence Agency, I assume because of my extensive experience in the field of intelligence and security.

The period of this assignment was from early 1949 to the end of 1951. Mr. Bunt claims to have discussed the alleged assassination matter with me semutime in 1954 and 1955, at lease two years after I left the Agency.

Upon loaving the CIA, I surved in headquarters, U.S. Porced.

Austria, from January 1952 to October 1953, at which time I

was transferred to the Headquarters, Sixth Army, where I

served from October 1953 to May 1956.

Mr. Chairman, I entegorically dony having had any discussion on any subject whatsoever with Mr. Hunt during those years. As I said, I was assigned to CIA during 1949 to '51. My duties pertained to intelligence activities, and I wish to state most emphatically that I was never in charge of or involved in any assassination planning, nor ever requested to do so.

The not inconceivable that I could have mut Mr. Hunt while on assignment with the Agency on some routine matter, is I cannot recall every minor Agency official I may have spoken to.

Gentleman, the mantioned article appears to be another in a serior of unprincipled, irresponsible and willful efforts

there is a luli in Committee activity, some piece of new sensationalism just happens to come up. Unsubstantiated, unverified, "sensational disclosures," of CIA activity are a blot on the escutcheon of the Fourth Estate. Although I have had certain and perhaps strong disagreements on certain matters with highly placed Agency officials in the past, I have always held the Agency and what it represents in high enterm.

We must remember that any Agency or any branch of our government is made up of people, by and large highly dedicated and hard working people. Therefore, no Agency should be condemned because of illegal or irrespossible activities, real or alleged, by a few ill-advised, incomputent or unscrupulous individuals to will neize any opportunity for selfish personal aggrandizement. We must not everlook the fact that such actions can be detrimental and injurious to the interest and welfare of the United States.

In conclusion, may I urge the Committee that in pursuing your responsibilities, you do not "neutralize" our intelligence and security assets, both at home and abroad, which are committed to protecting our nation, and preserving her as a viable defender of democracy. Our nation and its leadership in those troubled times needs every bit of profussional ansistance it can get, and to deny this by discrediting the CIA and expensing its methods of operation, such as Agency

TOP SECRET

1

2

5

6

7

ь

215

2:

22

. 4 16 : :: : *: 17 **:**::

2% 2.3 1:4 1:6 1 personnel who may be serving abroad, business firms with which Agency personnel may have been associated is nothing short of placing up at a great dinadvantage in international relations.

I notice that in typing it I made a mintake which I will correct here -- the years when I was assigned to USFA, and I will make that correction.

Br. Baron. After you correct your statement, may we copy that so that we can be sure that we have it accurately represented in the record?

Et. Pante. Yen.

Br. Daron. Thank you very much for that statement. below but your position on the record very clearly and articulately.

Let me follow up by first introducing as an exhibit this Howard Bunt interview which appeared in the New York Times on Priday, December 26, and which we will mark Exhibit 1 for this session.

> (The document referred to was marked as Pash Exhibit No. 1 for identification.)

2

4

6

ŀ١

y

10

::

1.5

15

16

17

10

22

23

24

1:1.

Mr. Baron. Would you assume that the small unit discussed in the article is probably PB7, even though the time period in incorrect?

Mr. Pash. I ambumo that he is referring to the unit that I had at that time, yes.

Hr. Daron. And that would be PB77

Mr. Pash. You.

Mr. Baron. Did you have any conversation with anyone who was working with an organization in West Gurmany that the CIA appropriated or supported in some way in regard to a suspected double agent?

Mr. Pash. No.

Mr. Pash.

Mr. Haron. Have you ever heard of the Albanian Iron Guard or the Albanian Guardian Batallion, which are the two names that Howard Hunt has given to me as the possible names of this organization in West Germany that he was dealing with?

If I may elaborate a little bit, we did make, we did prepare a plan for CIA support of an operation in Albania, but that did not involve individual action. It was to support a broad operation, and I do not recall those two names with regard to that particular activity.

Ar. Baron. Did anyone who was on the Balkans Desk or who was in Traison with organizations is West Cormany over come to you with double agent problems generally, for advice

.

5X X1 SO

23

24

25

1

3

4

7

B

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

or for planning, whether or not they mentioned assessination?

Mr. Pash. As I recall, we never had anyone from the individual area groups come to us directly. In the days, as I recall it, and I think I mentioned earlier to you, there was the plans and policy group. The other was the -- well, it was the operational group, and that was, if I'm not mistaken, the group which Mr. Lindsay, Frank Lindsay I think his name was, was in charge of, and he had his regular top staff like Frank first, and then Wisner later had for plans and policy. So the , contact was always between Lindsay's you might say headquarters, with Wisner's or others' groups. So I don't recall ever talking direct, as a Chief of Plans Branch 7, on any plans pertaining to their operations.

I think I have known several follows -- if I'm not mistaken, I think at that time a man by the name of Daker was in charge of Western Europe, in OPC, I think, or OPS.

Mr. Baron. Do you remember a man by the name of Douglas Blaufarb, who I think was on the Albanian Desk at that point?

Mr. Pash. No. I think I would remember that name, or at least I think I would recognize it, and I don't.

Mr. Baron. So you have no recollection of someone coming to you with a double agent problem relating to a West German operation.

Mr. Pash. I don't recall any discussion of any double agent type activity anyplace.



Mr. Baron. PB7 was not a place that handled double agent problems?

Mr. Pash. No. Again you see, that was an operational thing.

Mr. Baron. Mere you aware generally of how double agent problems would be handled when they aroue? If an operations officer suspected that someone that he was dealing with was a double agent, what was the ordinary way of handling that kind of matter?

Mr. Pash. I don't know. I did not know. I assumed from my past experience that that would be handled within the operational division, and any operation to take care of a double agent is a low level operation within the division. So it would not come for any kind of planning on the level that our planning activities were sort of established, you see. You might say that was a detail rather than a broad activity.

Mr. Baron. And PB7 didn't deal with the details or even in a general way did not deal with double agent problems?

Nr. Pash. No.

Mr. Baron. So you're saying that even if Howard Hunt has the dates wrong, you don't recall any incident like the one he describes.

Mr. Panh. No.

Mr. Baron. Howard Bunt has relayed to me the information through him lawyer that he had a conversation with you, only

24

25

1

2

3

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

10

19

20

2:

one, in addition to the one that's reported in the article. He has told his lawyers that he remembers only one other time when he ever talked to you, and it was in the hallways at the Agency, and he mays that he stopped you in the hallway and asked you what ever came of this matter that he discusses in the interview. And he says your response was something to the effect that "this is heavy stuff. We have to be very selective about who we discuss this with." And according to Hunt's lawyers, that's all that he remembers you saying to him, and he dropped the matters and didn't inquire into it any further.

Did you have any such conversation with Noward Hunt?

Hr. Pash. I don't recall. I don't recall discussing it.

Now, I might, as I indicated, sometime he might have seen me
in the hallway or stopped me going into the snack bar or something. I don't recall the man at all.

Mr. Baron. So you never dealt with Howard Hunt in any context that you recall.

Mr. Pash. No.

Mr. Baron. Would you recognize him on sight?

Hr. Pash. No.

Mr. Baron. Is this newspaper account correct, that you retired from the Army in November '67?

Hr. Pash. Yes, but I sort of, to a degree, resent the implication in the way this is written. It's written "left the service." It didn't say retired. Do you notice that, the

17

10

19

C:

1::

20 #: 1

22

24

25

way it's put? Loft the service with an undescribed -- it doesn' say undisclosed -- "undescribed permanent disability."

Now, it is absolutely inconcoivable that a man would not be able to find out that I retired on disabilities resulting from injuries as a result of the instrumentality of war. That is the technical way, which amounts to about the same as combat disability, and I have a back injury and a heart attack, and exposure to radium. But this wort of implies -- and this is one of the reasons why I want to be sure that my counterstatemonts to this and other allegations are -- that they receive as wide publicity an those articles did, because this sort of implien that he was -- you might read into it, after you read the whole thing, that this man was cased out to get this type of fellow out of the way, so you sort of can get that implication in there, you see, and I can't help but fool that this man Crewdson being a journalist, probably might have had that in mind in describing it that way. Otherwise, I don't see how he could have said retired because of permanent disabilities. But

Mr. Daron. Do you allow for the possibility that such a conversation with Howard Hunt did take place in the way he describes it, and that you might not recall it now?

he had to bring it around in such a way that everybody would

say, well, they edged this guy out.

Mr. Pash. I would like to jump. The reason is, to answer this, I want to answer your question by using this, you sen-



Here he said in the first place that he was in contact with me in '54 and '55, which was wrong, and he said, Hunt recalled, he said, I talked to Boris. Hobody called me by my first number on first sight, you see, and he certainly is in no position at this time to refer to me under my first name.

lioro ho maid, Pash, an Army Intelligence Officer at the time of his testimony said that he worked in CIA from 1949 to 1952. Hunt maid he was informed in '54 or '55 that Pash had previously headed the assassination unit. Prior to that, prior to '54, he didn't know I handled that unit, and yet he claims he talked to me about it when the incident took place, and yet in this article it is stated that he was only informed ex pont facto, after I left the service and everything. Then he was teld that I had that unit, you see. So he's contradicting himself right there.

Mr. Baron. We should make room for the possibility that the reporters had written it up in different ways and put a different light on what was said.

Unfortunately, we have not yet taken Howard Hunt's tostimony, which we will inhortly, and don't know whether this is
a really accurate rendition of whatever he has to may about
the matter. But if we look at --

Hr. Pash. But I dony that I have ever talked to him about it and that he ever asked me about it.

Mr. Baron. In it possible that despite the fact that you

Ħ

don't recall it, he may have raised the subject with you in the way he describes, and that you would have responded in this way, saying that you woren't sure that you could handle this kind of double agent problem and expressing some sort of shock that the subject had been brought up?

Mr. Pash. Well, in the first place I don't recall him coming to the office. If he came to my office for semething, I probably would recall it. I do not recall anything. I never would discuss anything in the hallway, regardless of how secure a hallway, with anyone. I never did discuss -- I would never stop in a hallway and discuss a problem of this nature. So I think I can say that it is inconceivable that I have discussed this with Hunt at all.

Mr. Daron. Where do you think Hent may have received the impression from his superiors or from what source did they receive the impression that there was an assassination unit which you headed?

Mr. Pash. I don't think anyone would have that idea. I think it is idea that I had a special type, in other words, special, a catch-all type, you might say, branch, and the only explanation I can give, as you know, as you might know, flunt was a spy story writer, and he wrote many books, and a man of that type only needs a couple of little incidental type things to let his imagination run. I think it is a figment of his imagination.

Hr. Baron. Why do you think he came up with your name?

3

4

5

7

B

y 10

11

15

C.

S

15

16

16

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

Hr. Pash. Well, because I had the special unit, I presume.

Now, I don't think thin is the business of the Committee at all. I mean, I don't think it is your interest, but I see more to it than just Hunt's interest of getting publicity,

where there's a tie-in with the Opponheimor situation, too.

Now, Hunt at the time, people in the CIA didn't know, at least 1 den't think they knew of my involvement in the investigation of that empionage case on the Pacific Coast. So why

would he be informed by anyone that I was conducting an investi-

gation on espionage, and it never was referred to as the Oppenheimer case until it came out. We had a code name for it, and nobody until after World War II know that it involved

Mr. Baron. What was that code name?

Mr. Pash. DSM Project.

an Oppenhuimor situation.

Mr. Haron. For the rucord, we are discussing now an article that appeared in the Dallan Times-Herald by Thomas Rosn which is copyrighted 1975, Chicago Sun-Times, and it appeared on December 30th, 1975.

Mr. Pauh. In the Dallas newspaper.

Mr. Baron. Right.

And we should enter this as Exhibit 2 in our record.

(The document referred to was



marked as Pash Exhibit No.

2 for identification.)

1 2

2%

W SECRET

Hr. Baron. While we'ra, on this subject, is there anything else you would like to say about the account in this article that is headlined "Hunt Says Boris Pash Oppenheimer Accuser."

is there anything clae that you'd like to add to set the record straight on that article?

- Mr. Pash. Well, I was nover the security officer or a security officer in the Manhattan Project. I conducted security operations for the Manhattan Project, but I was doing that as an Army Intelligence Officer assigned to the Fourth Army in Western Defense Command. I don't quite understand this

Hould you be kind enough to tell me in your opinion, here in this paragraph before the last, who was the superior officer -- who is superior to whom there?

Hr. Haron. The sentence you're referring to mays "in a memo based in part on Pash's work, his superior, Peer de Silva, accused Oppenheimer of playing a key part in Soviet efforts to learn about the atom bomb."

I can't interpret the article any better than you can, I'm afraid.

Mr. Pash. To put it straight, do Silva was a Lioutenant who came into my office in '42 shortly after graduating from West Point, and he was put on -- I was then Chief of Counter-intelligence in the Pourth Army in Western before Command, and he was working at the Communist Desk, and I had him reviewing some of the reserve and asked him to prepare a memorandum.

6

7

н

12

13

14

15

16

17

15

19

His memorandum was a very well propared memorandum. The material was very well analyzed, and it was always my practice, which

3 probably is not always common in the military service, whenever a subordinate prepares a very good paper, I did not then have 4 5

the paper prepared for the signature of the commanding officer. What I did in this case, I put a covering letter on it sending ! it to the War Department so that do Silva would get credit for i his work rather than I getting credit for the work that do Silva

did. That was my common approach to things, you soo, because 0 10 When a Young officer does something good you want to be sure his name is recorded there. 11

So de Silva wan really one of the men working within my division, and his responsibility was to analyze that. That is straightened out.

Of course, again, there is another statement, and I'll ask whether the Committee would be interested in this or not, but since it's been stated here, it says Oppenhulmer's security, clearance was lifted by President Dwight D. Eisenhower in 1953.

He was officially exongrated in 1963 when President Lyndon

Daines Johnson awarded him the highest honor of the Atomic 20 Energy Commission, the Enrico Formi medal and a \$50,000 prize. 21 That was not an exeneration. He received the prize for his 2%

scientific work. That had nothing to do at all with his security status.

Mr. Haron. Is there anything else that you would like to

agin Øb

•::

24 24

25

6

Ú

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

(Discussion off the record.)

Hr. Baron. Purhaps we should go -- we should stop for a

the record on the New York Times interview with Howard Hunt?

moment and go through the formalities of administering the eath

Sonator Schweiker. Would you stand, Colonel, and raise your right hand?

7 B

Do you solomnly swong that the testimony you have already

Mr. Pash. I do.

given and are about to give to this Committee is the truth.

the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Senator Schweiker, Colonel, who would handle double agent

problems under the set-up when you were there?

Mr. Pash. If I may just say there were two divisions,

there were maybe more. There was the research and analysis, but there was the Plans and Policy division, and within the

had Planning Branch 7 in the Plans and Policy Division. Then

Plans and Policy Division were the planning branches, and I

there was the Operational Division where all the operations, the actual operations were planned and conducted.

I only can may that based on the organization, the planning

for that type of thing cortainly would be as far as I know

Sunator Schweiker. How would we handle double agent problems, from your knowledge?

in the Operations Division because that was a detail, you see.

S

20

2::

22

23

24

25

C.

C

.4

~

14

15

16

17

14

17

!:0

20.20

24

Hr. Pash. Well, if you want just theoretical, there are many ways that they could be handled. One is you give a double agent some information which the enemy knows is false and you lot him carry it back, and the enemy would catch him at bringing back false information, you woo. This is such as, you know, right off my hoad, as a roaction to your question, that is such a detailed and highly limited from the point of view of the way that things would be done, that you have to practically know the individual situation, you have to know the double agent, you would have to know his background, you would have to know your own operational group in dutail in order to exposu him to his own people, you say, and nobody sitting in Hashington could ever develop a plan on how to have a double agent.

Somebody could write a book about it. I mean, theoretically you could say, well --

Senator Schweiker. Where would it have to be done? You mean at the field lovel?

Hr. Pash. At the field level.

Senator Schweiker. And that would be under operations.

Mr. Pash. Yes, cortainly it would be under operations, and it would be within the group that is handling the double agent bocause they know all the background to it.

Sunator Schweiker. Now, when you say within the group, what kind of a structure would you call that? In other words, would this by a section?

б 7

Ø 9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

14

19 20

21 2%

23

24

26

Hr. Pash. I don't know what the organization in Europe was but for instant, he mentions here we and I am now just sort of speaking theoretically --

Sonator Schweiker. It's a theoretical question I realize.

Mr. Pash. Say he mentions that he was with the Balkan unit. Now, the Balkan unit might have been an independent unit, I don't know. It could have been a part of the Eastern European unit, with a Balkan divinion within it.

Sonator Schweiker. A geographical unit.

Mr. Pash. Now, the man in charge of operations into the balkans really would be the person who would supervise the planning of an operation against a double agent.

Sunator Schweiker. What is this?

Mr. Daron. This statement is -- this is Mr. Pash's statement. It's a four page statement that he has read into the record.

Sunator Schweiker. Why would Howard Hunt say something like this?

Br. Pash. Would you please take a look at that second บทบ7

Mr. Baron. Senator Schweiker has just read the prepared tatement of Mr. Pash, and we're now referring to the article in the Dallan Timen-Herald that has been introduced as an exhibit already.

Mr. Paul. I am trying to analyze thin mysolf, now, and I

don't mean to take the time of the Committee because I am not yet prepared to make a apocific opinion, but here's the thing.

Sonator Schweiker. Well, it helps us to get some of your thinking, that's all.

Mr. Pash. Woll, there are two or three things that might be in back of this development. As I mentioned in my prepared statement, I am dedicated to intelligence. I think we need it. I think we are left very helploss without it.

Sunator Schweiker. There is no question about that.

Mr. Pash. So when I found deficiencies in the CIA, I didn't hemitate to make it known. As a matter of fact, when I returned from Europe in 1953, General Fry who was then Chief of Personnel, told me informally that the Agency didn't particularly want me to be assigned to Washington. I do not hold that against the Agency. I know some people felt that I was too demanding of the intelligence service. When I operated in Italy in World War II, I gave a mission to the OSS to got. an Italian scientist out of Rome before Rome fell because my mission was to get ahold of Drs. Amalli, Giordani and Week to sen they knew anything about the German atomic development. That was my mission in World War II in Europe, and the OSS told me that they had dropped a radio man into Rome, and they made contact with Dr. Amaldi and that ho's roady to come out, but the OSS doesn't have the capability of getting him out because they need a submaring.

۲.

1

2

3

5

6

7

25

10

11

1::

13

22

23

24

25

The Fifth Army, General Howard, who commanded, was G-2 in the Fifth Army, told me he couldn't get a submarine. They had a request in and couldn't get it, but he took me to General Crunther and when I explained my mission to General Grunther, ho signed the request, and I went through channels in a hurry and officially got the British admiral. They gave me a submaring, they assigned a submaring to Beri for an operation to taku Amaldi out. Later I was told that that mission sort of didn't work out and Amaldi couldn't : cross the mountains to get over to the Adriatic coast, and they needed some PT Boat and they were going to take them out above Reme. I forget the name of the place.

I got them the PT heats, and the reason they couldn't get the PT Boats was because they and the Bavy were on the outs because of nome incident that happened, and I got them the PT Boats, and they went into Corsica. They were supposed to go to Cornica to a Lioutenant Hollingsworth who was to then conduct the operation. And then they later told me that they tried to take him. They went there but the Germans -- oh, no, at the first attempt there was a big storm and they had to postpone it. And the second attempt the Germans increased their patrois and they felt that Amaldi would be in danger.

So I returned to the states, and they still had that operation to conduct, and then it was decided to send a purmanent mission that I commanded to Europe, and when I was

in London I got a quick mossage to got down to Ituly because
Rome was about to fall. I entered Rome with the advance element,
that were attacking Rome, and I went right to Amaldi's place
and I picked him up, and I apologized to him for not -- for
causing all this inconvenience and danger, and he says, what
inconvenience. And I said, well, our agents contacted you. And
he said, notedy ever contacted me.

And that evening when I already had Amaldi and had him secure, he came to me because I told him not to leave Rome without my permission, because he had to go to Haples, and my base was in Naples, and I said why, and he said, well, there's an officer dewestairs who told no I had to go. And I said, no, you don't have to go. I'll go down and talk to the officer.

And this officer, a big husky follow in a captain's uniform starts telling me I was interfering with a very important mission of the United States directed by the President himself, and I said what's happened. And he said, I have to take Dr. Amaldi and take him to Saples. And I said, why are you taking him to Saples. He said, I have to turn him over to the Alsace Stanion. I'll tell you because you're interfering. And I says, you're looking at the Alsace Stanion.

And I told him if I ever naw him in name I would profer charges, just to scare him.

Well, I wrote about that in my book. I had a book out

Ĭ,

22

1

2

.5

5

6

H

0

11

12

12

14

15

16

17

19

1:5

24

21.

2

3

5

6

7

25

9

10

11

1::

13

14

15

16

17

14

20

:::

2%

24

226

find out.

Hunt might have been associated with the Balkan Desk. He might have been then in the OSS, and I might have hurt the guy's feelings or according. I have no way of knowing it.

on the operations of the Alsace Hission, and now I find out that

I later found out, talking to some former OSS man, was bragging and telling some people how they got, they hoodwinked the Army and got a submaring and used to go up on operations which amounted to a picnic up there, and I said, well, I'm glad to find out at last what happened to my submarine.

or not -- at first I thought maybe it was something else, but when I were there flunt had nothing to do with the Oppenheimer situation. He didn't know about it. I don't think he know about it until after the investigation broke, because no one know what I was doing then, I mean that particular activity.

There is something behind this which of course because of

Woll, things like that I criticized heavily, and whether

my maybe overdedication and at the same time my knowledge, I feel I have reasonably good knowledge of the system of the Soviet Union and the way it works, but some people might be unwittingly duped. I don't say that, you know, this is intentional, but somewhere along the line somewhely is saying well, let's middy this business some more, you use, and whether flunt guts paid for this or what, or whether somebody might ask him to cook up some stories, I don't know. I would like to

For instance, the initiated the interview? Did Hunt call up the newspaper and say come on down, I have a story for you? Or did sembody go down there and say can you give us another story, semathing you might think of, you know. I think some of those things are very important to know to find out what's behind this, because this is a lie. I mean, I might say to you, you know, semabody could say you're old enough to forget. things or semathing like that, or you know, that my memory might not be clear, but some things that I lived through, like this --

Sunator Schweiker. Are etched in your memory.

Mr. Pauli. Yos.

Ь

1::

22.1

So why this is taking place I don't know, and I think that and certainly it's probably not the business of this Committee, but I think somebody should be trying to see what's behind some of these things which we know, you know, which we know are not accurate. Is it spite?

Of course, I don't dony some of it probably is true because you get eight balls everyplace, and considering that it came out from those groups, there were too many unprincipled people in OSS. Many of them might have just carried over into CIA. Agents who worked for me on for instance the Oppenheimer case, you haven't heard any of them saving anything. They were trained. They were dedicated. And if anybody of my former association in which I had some supervisory position wants

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

1.4

14

16

~

know, and said is it all right to talk now, you know. But there is -- we reached a period where this had become a lucrative past experience to have.

to say something, they usually call me, in the past years, you

Senator Schweiker. And some of the people that are in jail are making a profit out of it. There's no question about it.

Hr. Panh. Woll, you, quite no.

Senator Schweiker. By melling their book or their story.

Mr. Pash. And as I mentioned before, in the early days

of World War 11 and wort of into a carryover, we were inexperionced in intelligence. I was called in and I probably had

a little more experience than the average reserve officer
because of my past experience in World War (1 and post-World

15 | War II interest in Europe, but we all were -- and I include

myself -- we all had to train while we operated.

In other words, it's like taking a man with a laths and giving him a guidebook and saying okay, start working, but the sure you read overything first. And an you go along you

will gain a little more experience and will be a little faster.

Well, that was the situation, and that's the situation with

our intelligence activities when they exprese or loss, after the urgent need is ever, then we test we can dispense with much

of it, and then if semething happens, you cannot pick up

3

÷

5

Ü

10

11

13

14

15

16

16

15

114

ž, Martin S. C. Mart Spice. O.C. that it is highly subjected to our modern technology. So anyway ...

Sunator Schweiker. Well, I approciate that.

Mr. Pawh. I don't want to stand on the soap box too lonu.

Sunator Schweiker. Well, I asked you the question. think that's a fair answer.

16 thore anything else?

Mr. Daron. I just had one detail question,

Do you know a man named Colonel Milton Bullington?

Br. Pash. I don't even think he was retired. Maybe he retired from the reservos, yes, Buffington.

Er. Baron. In the Emergency Planning Office at the CIA?

Hr. Pash. Hy personal opinion of him is that he is in the field of intelligence, he was incompetent.

Mr. Baron. He was not your superior during this period of

time? 17

> Hr. Pash. At some time, I think when they reorganized, or in some respect, I really don't know. I never thought much

of the man. I think he was transferred from Veterans Administra-

tion to CIA when they had some vacancies, and some spots.

Whether he was an experienced officer in intelligence, I personally never fult that he was a strong man.

Br. Baron. And he was not your supervisor at PB7, or was

hu? 25

The transfer of the transfer o

Now, whather we all had a menior supervisor or not, I'm not sure. Maybe he was the coordinator or something like that.

Hr. Baron. Was there a period between the end of PB7 and the time when you left the CIA where you did other things at the Augney?

Mr. Pash. Yos.

١

2

5

4

b

6

7

U

o

10

11

1::

13

14

15

16

17

24

C.

•:

ě,

Mr. Baron. And what did you move on to after PB77

Mr. Pash. There was -- I really don't recall the designation of the unit. I think it concerned Eastern European planning because most of my activity after that, which practically was 1951, and there was a unit, a group of people of Russian background in South America that the Agency was interested in trying to contact and utilize.

I went down to South America to contact the leader of that group, the head of that group. It was a reasonably large group assumbled together by -- by ansemble I mean not physically but associated together because of their service in post-World Mar; I, fighting the Communists. They were in some particular element semewhere, I think, on the front, on the Polish front or semething like that, and they sort of stuck together, and it was to utilize them, to discuss with them the possibility of supporting them, using them.

When I got back I want to Central America to look for a

training sito.

1

2

6

7

B

Ý

15

16

17

18

15

::0

22.1

2%

24

24

25

Mr. Baron. Maybe I can just summarize my interest in this period of time. You didn't -- after the time that you

left PB7 and while you were still involved with the Agency, you didn't deal in any way with double agent problems, did you?

Ar. Pash. No. Then it was just strictly, I went to South America and I went to Central America to look for a training site, and I went to Alaska on another planning activity

to plan for activities relating to our interest in trying to

10 catablish nome intelligence collecting organization within the

12 Mr. Baron. So the incident that Howard Hunt described in

13 no more likely to have come up during thin ported of time 14 than when you were in Ph7.

Hr. Pash. No.

Mr. Baron. I have no further questions.

I want to thank you for coming.

Senator Schweiker. I concur. We thank you for your cooperation and for coming in and talking to the Committee.

Mr. Pash. I had one request that I'd like to convey to you, and that is my friends are beginning to show some reason some reasonable question about whether I am the type of person

that they might feel they would be made with, associating with me, and there are those quarded comments and sort of trying to excuse my past and so terth, and then of course, I

don't put it past some crazy Albanian trying to get even with.

me, because that can happen, you know, in this country. So I
would like that when the Committee is notinfied with this, and
particularly with my prepared statement, if you have means to
have it given to the promit to give it the same distribution
that these accurations had come in on because I'm getting calls
from all over the United States.

Sonato: Schweiker. Well, let me ask you this. I can see your problem in view of what you tell us, and what we see in the paper. I don't think the Committee --

Lat's go off the record.

1

H

::

16 17 16

2: 1.

(Whereupon, at 12:30 o'clock p.m., the hearing in the above-entitled matter was concluded.)